

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/812,187	03/30/2004	Katsuyuki Taguchi	250115US2XBIR	3368	
22850	7590 07/14/2005		EXAMINER		
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			KAO, CHIH CHENG G		
	RIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	••		2882		

DATE MAILED: 07/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/812,18	7	TAGUCHI, KATSUYUKI			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
			g Glen Kao	2882			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication r Reply	appears on the	cover sheet with t	the correspondence a	ddress		
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION IN THE PROVISIONS of 37 CFI SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by steply received by the Office later than three months after the mad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. R 1.136(a). In no eve b. a reply within the statu ariod will apply and will tatute, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply tory minimum of thirty (30 expire SIX (6) MONTHS cation to become ABAND	be timely filed D) days will be considered time from the mailing date of this considered (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on _						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5) <u>□</u> 6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the applicated 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.		sideration.		·		
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar on Papers	nd/or election re	quirement.				
•	The specification is objected to by the Exan	ninar					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Example The drawing(s) filed on 10 September 2004 Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the column The oath or declaration is objected to by the	is/are: a) and and the drawing(s) but the drawing(s) but the drawing (s) the drawing and the d	e held in abeyance. ed if the drawing(s) i	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 C	CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Busee the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have beer nents have beer priority docume reau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Appl nts have been receit 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this Nationa	I Stage		
Attachmen	t(s)						
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	te of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE r No(s)/Mail Date 3/30/04.			mary (PTO-413) lail Date mal Patent Application (PT	⁻ O-152)		

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because it contains an embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code. Applicant is required to delete the embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code. See MPEP § 608.01.

See page 1 in paragraph 1, "http://www3.toshiba.co.jp/medical/4d-ct/".

3. The specification is objected to because of the following informality, which appears to be a minor draft error including drawing inconsistencies.

In the following format (location of objection; suggestion for correction), the following correction may obviate the objection: (page 6, paragraph 22, "Figure 6 illustrates"; replacing "Figure 6 illustrates" with - -Figures 6a through 6c illustrate- -).

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-4, 6-9, 11-14, and 16-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hsieh (US Patent 6587537).
- 5. Regarding claims 1 and 16, Hsieh discloses a method and computer program product storing instructions for execution on a computer system, which when executed by the computer system, causes the computer system to perform (col. 4, lines 1-12) the following steps of obtaining projection data (col. 3, line 35) from at least two detector rows in a CT system (col. 3, line 28), filtering the projection data in a direction of the at least two detector rows to obtain filtered data in which windmill artifacts would necessarily be reduced due to the z-axis filtering (col. 4, lines 35-42), and reconstructing image data from the filtered data (col. 5, lines 51-52).
- 6. Regarding claims 6 and 11, Hsieh discloses an apparatus (fig. 2) comprising a helical scanning device configured to collect projection data while at least one of a gantry and a couch moves along an axial direction of the couch (col. 2, lines 44-50), the helical scanning device including, an X-ray source configured to generate X-rays (fig. 1, #14), and a detector having detector elements (fig. 3, #20) arranged in at least two detector rows (col. 3, lines 28-29) along

Application/Control Number: 10/812,187 Page 4

Art Unit: 2882

the axial direction and configured to produce the projection data (col. 3, line 35), and a processor

(col. 4, lines 1-12) comprising a means for filtering the projection data in a direction of the at

least two detector rows to obtain filtered data in which windmill artifacts would necessarily be

reduced due to the z-axis filtering (col. 4, lines 35-42), and a reconstructing device configured to

reconstruct the filtered data (col. 5, lines 51-52).

7. Regarding claims 2, 7, 12, and 17, Hsieh further discloses wherein the filtering is

performed in relation to at least one of a ray angle and a distance from an iso-center to a detector

cell (col. 5, line 10).

8. Regarding claims 3, 8, 13, and 18, Hsieh would necessarily have filtering performed (col.

5, line 10) in relation to a distance from an iso-center to a voxel on a ray-sum where the ray-sum

coincides with an xy-plane, since the performed filtering has some sort of relationship with the

isocenter, the ray-sum, and the xy-plane.

9. Regarding claims 4, 9, 14, and 19, Hsieh further discloses the reconstructing including

backprojecting (col. 3, lines 7-8).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Application/Control Number: 10/812,187

Art Unit: 2882

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

10. Claims 5, 10, 15, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hsieh as applied to claim 4, 9, 14, and 19 above, and further in view of Feldkamp et al. ("Practical cone-beam algorithm").

Hsieh discloses a method, apparatus, and program product as recited above.

However, Hsieh does not disclose backprojecting including Feldkamp reconstruction.

Feldkamp et al. teaches backprojecting including Feldkamp reconstruction (title and abstract).

It would have been obvious, to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to incorporate the method, apparatus, and program product of Hsieh with the backprojecting of Feldkamp et al., since one would be motivated to make such a modification for making smaller errors and more convenient computation (abstract) as shown by Feldkamp et al.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chih-Cheng Glen Kao whose telephone number is (571) 272-2492. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F (9 am to 5 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ed Glick can be reached on (571) 272-2490. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/812,187

Art Unit: 2882

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

gk

EDWARD J. GLICK SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER